Michael K. Jeanes, Clerk of Court
*** Electronically Filed ***
Constance White
Filing ID 799668
2/15/2011 5:03:00 PM

1

2

45

7

8

6

9 10

11

1213

14

1516

17

18

1920

2122

23

24

25

26

Shannon O'Connell, SBN 023386 **ALLEN & LEWIS, PLC** 3300 North Central Avenue, Suite 2500 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Telephone: (602) 443-0402

Telephone: (602) 443-0402 Facsimile: (602) 443-0403 rlewis@allenandlewis.com soconnell@allenandlewis.com Attorneys for Defendants

Robert K. Lewis, SBN 016625

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

REBECCA BEASLEY, individually as the surviving spouse of ORVILLE THOMAS BEASLEY, III, and as personal representative of the ESTATE OF ORVILLE THOMAS BEASLEY, III; and ORVILLE THOMAS, II and ANNA ELIZABETH BEASLEY, husband and wife and parents of ORVILLE THOMAS BEASLEY, III,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

JOHN C. STUART and JANE DOE STUART, a married couple; JOHN and JANE DOES I-V; BLACK & WHITE CORPORATIONS VI-X; and ABC PARTNERSHIPS XI-XV,

Defendants.

No. CV2010-050624

OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STRIKE AFFIDAVIT OF JOE COLLIER

AND

MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

(Oral Argument Requested)

(Assigned to the Honorable Linda Miles)

Defendant John Stuart opposes Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike the Affidavit of Joe Collier. The motion should be denied as the opinions are within Mr. Collier field of expertise, the opinions are supported by proper foundation and are admissible both at trial and for the purpose of the motion for partial summary judgment.

A. <u>Joe Collier is Qualified to Testify about the Effects of Alcohol on the Human Body</u>.

Plaintiffs complain that Joe Collier is not qualified to testify about the issue of toxicology because he is not a doctor, medical provider, psychologist, nor does he have

ALLEN & LEWIS, PLC

experience treating patients. He does not have to be, however. Rule 702 requires that an expert witness qualify as such by reason of "knowledge, skill, experience, training or education." *Ulibarrie v. Gerstenberger*, 178 Ariz. 151, 871 P.2d 698 (App. 1993). Educational attainments are not a prerequisite and a person can be qualified as an expert by reason of experience alone. *Godwin v. Famers Ins. Co. of America*, 129 Ariz. 416, 631 P.2d 571 (App. 1981). Mr. Collier has been a forensic toxicologist for forty-seven (47) years. His Curriculum Vitae was attached to the affidavit. This objection is ridiculous.

To be clear, Mr. Collier's opinion is that someone with 15 shots of 100 proof whiskey in his system he is going to be aggressive, loud, and do and say things he would not ordinarily do or say. This is hardly some great revelation. If Plaintiffs want a more detailed summary of how Mr. Collier has become familiar with the effects of alcohol on a person, they should take his deposition. They would discover that this is textbook toxicology. (*See* Exhibit "1").

Plaintiffs also complain that the opinions do not coincide with the evidence.

Namely, they claim that there is no evidence that Mr. Beasley was aggressive. They even deny the confrontation. This argument is absurd. There were multiple witnesses (Spade, Cantrell, and Strachan) to the incident who said Mr. Beasley was enraged, that he got out of his car, and physically attacked Mr. Stuart by reaching to the Stuart vehicle. After interviewing witnesses and conducting an investigation as the primary homicide detective, Detective Dalton testified:

During the physical confrontation between Mr. Beasely and Mr. Stuart, both could have stopped it Mr. Beasley walking away, you've had enough, or Mr. Stuart Driving away, I've had enough. So a specific criticism of Mr. Stuart, it works both ways. It's a criticism on both, so...

Q. You agree with me that this was partially Mr. Beasley's fault; Correct?

A. I can't blame fault on – the whole incident was both their faults. (132:12-23)

So it's kind of – you know? Is it his fault? Yeah. He came out of the car and he's now dead.

(132: 124-135:2 speaking of Beasley's contribution to the accident)

B. <u>Joe Collier's Opinions have Appropriate Foundation.</u>

Plaintiffs' second criticism is that the opinions lack foundation because Mr Collier does not have "personal knowledge." Plaintiffs ignores Rule 703, which provides that an expert can base an opinion on (1) facts personally observed by the expert; (2) on facts received in evidence and made known to the expert at or before the hearing, and/or (3) on facts of a type reasonably relied by experts in the particular field which need not be admissible in evidence. *Cervantes v. Rijlaarsdam*, 190 Ariz. 396, 949 P.2d 56 (App. 1997). It is a basic axiom that experts are permitted to rely upon medical laboratory reports prepared by others. *State v. Villafuerte*, 142 Ariz. 323, 690 P.2d 42 (1984). Here, Joe Collier took the blood alcohol reading directly from the autopsy report and toxicology report by the medical examiner's office. He explains this in his affidavit.

C. <u>Plaintiffs' Motion is Sanctionable</u>.

To make foundation objections to force one's adversary to "do it the hard way" wastes court time and client dollars. This conduct is sanctionable. *Theyppard v. Crow Barker Paul No. 1 Ltd. Partnership*, 192 Ariz. 539, 968 P.2d 612 (App 1998). Here, the two objections are directly contrary basic axioms of law. Plaintiffs are simply not forthright with the Court when discussing the evidence. They deny facts that are simply undeniable. The truth is Mr. Beasley was drunk, he verbally assaulted Stuart, and then he physically attacked him. The objections were made to harass, and were a waste of time.

ALLEN & LEWIS, PLC

1	DATED this 15 th day of February, 2011.
2	ALLEN & LEWIS, PLC
3	By <u>/s/Robert K. Lewis</u> Robert K. Lewis
4 5	Robert K. Lewis Shannon O'Connell Attorneys for Defendants
6	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
7	I hereby certify that on February 15, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Court and mailed a copy this same date to the following:
9	John C. Doyle Jonathan L. Sullivan
10	Doyle Law Group 5010 E. Theya, Suite A-106
11	Scottsdale, Arizona 85254
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffss
13	By /s/ Jamie Tanner
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	